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## Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study
$T=292 \mathrm{~K}$
Mean $\sigma(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C})=0.002 \AA$
$R$ factor $=0.056$
$w R$ factor $=0.152$
Data-to-parameter ratio $=17.6$

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

[^0]The molecule of the title compound, $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$, has crystallographic inversion symmetry. In the crystal structure, the molecules are linked via weak intermolecular $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds into a three-dimensional structure.

## Comment

Ethylenediamine and its derivatives play an important role in developing molecular architectures in coordination chemistry (Brown et al., 2001; Dapporto et al., 2000). Similarly, the condensation products of ethylenediamine with aldehydes, i.e. Schiff bases, form an interesting class of ligands for transition metal complexes (Goswami \& Eichhorn, 1999; Henson et al., 1999). Recently, we have reported a Schiff base compound derived from the condensation of ethylenediamine with 2nitrobenzaldehyde, which has been structurally characterized (Li et al., 2005). As an extension of this work, the crystal structure of the title compound, (I), has been determined.

(I)

In (I) (Fig. 1), two 3,4-dimethoxybenzylidene groups are bridged by the ethylenediamine fragment via two $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ double bonds in a roughly linear geometry. The torsion angle $\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{N} 1$ of $172.34(15)^{\circ}$ indicates an extended configuration. The molecule is disposed about a centre of inversion at the mid-point of the central $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond. The $\mathrm{C} 9=\mathrm{N} 1$ bond length of $1.260(2) \AA$ is comparable to the corresponding values of 1.250 (2) $\AA$ observed in bis( 2 -nitrobenzaldehyde)ethylenediamine (Li et al., 2005). All other bond lengths are within normal ranges (Allen et al., 1987).
In the crystal packing, the molecules are linked via weak intermolecular $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds, forming a threedimensional structure (Table 1 and Fig. 2).


The structure of centrosymmetric (I), showing $30 \%$ probability displacement ellipsoids and the atom-numbering scheme. Unlabelled atoms are related to labelled atoms by the symmetry code ( $1-x, 2-y, 1-z$ ).

Received 12 December 2005
Accepted 16 January 2006

## Experimental

Ethylenediamine ( $1 \mathrm{mmol}, 60 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and 3,4-dimethoxybenzaldehyde $(2 \mathrm{mmol}, 332 \mathrm{mg})$ were dissolved in methanol $(10 \mathrm{ml})$ at 323 K . The mixture was stirred for 10 min to give a clear and colourless solution. After the solution had been allowed to stand in air for 8 d , colourless crystals formed, in about $87 \%$ yield, on slow evaporation of the solvent. Analysis found: C 67.35, H 6.91, N 7.85\%; $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ requires C 67.39 , H 6.79, N 7.86\%.

## Crystal data

$\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$
$M_{r}=356.41$
Monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / c$
$a=13.126(3) \AA$
$b=8.531(2) \AA$
$c=8.393(2) \AA$
$\beta=97.125(4)^{\circ}$
$V=932.6(4) \AA^{3}$
$Z=2$
$D_{x}=1.269 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation
Cell parameters from 2443 reflections
$\theta=2.7-23.0^{\circ}$
$\mu=0.09 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=292$ (2) K
Block, colourless
$0.20 \times 0.10 \times 0.10 \mathrm{~mm}$

## Data collection

Bruker APEX area-detector diffractometer
$\varphi$ and $\omega$ scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.897, T_{\text {max }}=0.995$
10357 measured reflections

2111 independent reflections
1415 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$
$R_{\text {int }}=0.142$
$\theta_{\text {max }}=27.5^{\circ}$
$h=-16 \rightarrow 16$
$k=-10 \rightarrow 10$
$l=-10 \rightarrow 10$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.056$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.152$
$S=0.94$
2111 reflections
H -atom parameters constrained
$w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}\right)+(0.0823 P)^{2}\right]$
where $P=\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right) / 3$
$(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }<0.001$
$\Delta \rho_{\max }=0.23 \mathrm{e}_{\AA^{-3}}$
$\Delta \rho_{\min }=-0.21 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$

Table 1
Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $\AA,{ }^{\circ}$ ).

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ${\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H} 2 C \cdots \mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{i}}}^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 0.96 | 2.56 | $3.490(2)$ | 164 |
| $\mathrm{C}^{2}-\mathrm{H} 1 B \cdots \mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{ii}}$ | 0.96 | 2.57 | $3.521(2)$ | 171 |

Symmetry codes: (i) $x,-y+\frac{1}{2}, z+\frac{1}{2}$; (ii) $-x,-y+1,-z$.
The H atoms were included in calculated positions and refined using a riding-model approximation, with aromatic $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.93 \AA$, methylene $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.97 \AA$ and methyl $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.96 \AA$, and with $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.2 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{C})$ for aromatic and methylene, and $1.5 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{C})$ for


Figure 2
The crystal packing of (I), viewed along the $b$ axis. Dashed lines show intermolecular $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds.
methyl H atoms. The high value of $R_{\mathrm{int}}$ is due to the weak precision of single-crystal reflection data.

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 2003); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2003); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997a); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997a); molecular graphics: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 1997b); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97.
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